## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/804,004	KUDO, MAKOTO	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	MARK CONNOLLY	2115			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 19 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi ral (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
	ust puisante the data of filippe a buist	مطالم مسلم مسلم مسلم النبيد			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	sideration and/or search (see NO »);	ΓE below);			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for		
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☒ Other: <u>Interview Summary. Paper No(s).</u> 20080303.</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
	/Chun Cao/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 2115			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In the REMARKS, applicants argue in substance that 1) one skilled in the art, at the time of the invention, would not have possessed the capabilities in the art to include the timers taught in Carter into the Haban-Hadji system 2) Carter teaches a single timer and not individual times for each dedicated bus interface and 3) Carter starts the countdown of the timer when each access has started.

Referring to applicant's first argument, one of ordinary skill would certainly possess the capability to include a timer into the Haban-Hadji system for counting an idle time for determining when a clock should be stopped. In particular, Carter explicitly teaches that the timer is necessary for timing a period for determining inactivity [col. 2 lines 15-27] which is necessary in Hadji for determining if bus interfaces are inactive so that the clock supplied to the interfaces can be cut off [abstract].

Referring to applicant's second argument, the Haban-Hadji system teaches multiple interfaces for each of a plurality of semiconductor media. Since only inactive bus interfaces should have their clocks cut off, it is obvious that there would have to be multiple timers for counting an inactive period for each interface.

Referring to applicant's third argument, applicant has misinterpreted the reference. When an access occurs, the timer is restarted (i.e. reset) so that once the access has completed, the timer can begin to count an inactivity period from anew. If the timer begins counting at the beginning of each access as suggested by applicant, and let's say for example the timer counts to 10 for determining inactivity, if an access lasts longer than the timers 10 count, the system would determine that an inactivity period has arrived even though an access is still occurring.

Claim 1 still stands rejected over the prior art and therefore the application is not in condition for allowance.

Regarding the rejections of the currently amended claims, these amendments reflect the interpretations of the claims made by the examiner in the final rejection. Therefore the rejections regarding these claims stand as previously set forth in the final rejection.